A Literature Review on the Effectiveness of Multimodal Instruction in Enhancing Listening Skills

Li Xin[1] Nalini Arumugam[2]

[1]*Student, School of Business, Information and Human Sciences, Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur*
[2] *Associate Professor, School of Business, Information and Human Sciences, Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur*

ABSTRACT: This literature review provides a comprehensive examination of the effectiveness of multimodal instruction in enhancing listening skills among language learners across diverse educational contexts. Multimodal instruction, which integrates various media—such as audio, visual, textual, and kinesthetic elements—has emerged as a prominent pedagogical approach that caters to diverse learning needs (Anis, & Khan, 2023). By engaging multiple sensory channels simultaneously, it offers a richer, more immersive learning experience, potentially leading to deeper comprehension and improved retention of listening material (Asad,et,al. 2021).The review synthesizes findings from a wide range of studies, highlighting how different instructional modes, such as videos with subtitles, interactive exercises, and visual aids, can facilitate the development of listening skills (Teng, 2022). It also explores significant advantages, including accommodating various learning styles, enhancing engagement, and reinforcing complex auditory information (Barkley, & Major, 2020). However, challenges in implementation—such as the need for adequate resources, comprehensive teacher training, and the risk of cognitive overload—are also discussed (Skulmowski, & Xu, 2022). Additionally, the review considers how factors like learner proficiency, cultural background, and cognitive differences influence the effectiveness of multimodal strategies (Kim, & Belcher, 2020). The pedagogical implications suggest that while multimodal instruction holds great potential, its success depends on thoughtful and strategic implementation. This review provides educators, researchers, and policymakers with a nuanced understanding of multimodal instruction's role in language learning, offering guidance on best practices and future research directions (Mirra, & Garcia, 2021).

KEYWORDS: Multimodal Instruction, Listening Skills, Language Learning, Pedagogy, Instructional Strategies.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving landscape of language education, multimodal instruction has gained significant attention as a pivotal strategy for developing listening skills among learners (Jiang, & Zhao, 2021). Unlike traditional teaching methods that often rely on a single mode of instruction, multimodal instruction integrates various forms of media, such as audio, visual, textual, and even kinesthetic components, to create a more dynamic and engaging learning environment (Brown et al., 2020). This multifaceted approach is grounded in the understanding that learning is a complex process, and students benefit from being exposed to information through multiple sensory channels (Levin, 2022). By presenting content in diverse formats, multimodal instruction caters to different learning styles, thereby enhancing comprehension and retention of information (Zamri, & Fatzel, 2023).

The relevance of multimodal instruction in language education cannot be overstated, particularly in the context of listening skills, which are critical for effective communication in any language (Tour, & Barnes, 2022). Listening, as a receptive skill, requires the ability to process auditory information accurately and efficiently (Rukthong, & Brunfaut, 2020). However, many learners struggle with listening comprehension due to the challenges posed by unfamiliar accents, vocabulary, and speech rates (Medina, & Krishnamurti, 2020). Multimodal instruction addresses these challenges by providing learners with additional contextual cues, such as visual aids and written text, which can help in decoding and understanding spoken language (Kendeou, et al., 2020)

Moreover, the integration of multimodal elements in instruction aligns with contemporary theories of learning, such as the Dual Coding Theory and Cognitive Load Theory (Lim, 2020). The Dual Coding Theory posits that people

process information through two distinct channels—verbal and non-verbal—and that learning is more effective when both channels are engaged simultaneously (Ba, et al.,2021). Similarly, Cognitive Load Theory suggests that multimodal instruction can help manage the cognitive demands placed on learners by distributing the processing load across different sensory modalities, thereby preventing overload and enhancing learning outcomes (Sweller, 2022)

This paper seeks to review and synthesize existing literature on the effectiveness of multimodal instruction in improving listening skills. Research has shown that multimodal instruction can significantly enhance listening skills by providing multiple channels for information processing, which is particularly beneficial in second language acquisition (Zhang,& Zou, 2022). It aims to provide a comprehensive overview of how multimodal strategies impact learners, particularly in the context of second language acquisition (Li, 2020). In doing so, it will explore the various benefits that multimodal instruction offers, such as improved engagement (Sharma, & Giannakos,2020), better retention, and enhanced comprehension. Additionally, the review will address the challenges educators face in implementing multimodal instruction, including the need for appropriate technological resources (Si, et al., 2022), teacher training, and the careful design of instructional materials to avoid cognitive overload. Finally, the paper will consider the broader implications of multimodal instruction for language teaching practices, offering insights into how this approach can be effectively integrated into language curricula to support learners in developing their listening skills (Corbett, 2022).

By examining the existing body of research on this topic, this paper aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the role that multimodal instruction can play in language education, particularly in enhancing the critical skill of listening. It is hoped that the insights gained from this review will inform educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers as they seek to implement evidence-based strategies that support the diverse needs of language learners in today's increasingly multimedia-rich educational environments.

II.THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Multimodal instruction is underpinned by several key theories in learning and cognitive psychology, which provide a foundation for understanding its effectiveness in enhancing listening skills. Central to this approach are the Dual Coding Theory (Moon, 2020). and Cognitive Load Theory (Skulmowski, & Xu, 2022), both of which offer insights into how multimodal strategies facilitate more effective learning (Philippe, et al.,2020).

Dual Coding Theory, proposed by Allan Paivio in 1986, posits that information is processed through two distinct but interconnected channels: verbal and non-verbal (Kemmerer, 2022). According to this theory, verbal information is processed through linguistic channels, including spoken and written text, while non-verbal information is processed through visual and other sensory modalities (Deldjoo, et al.,2021). Paivio's research suggests that learning is enhanced when both channels are used simultaneously, as it allows learners to create dual representations of information. This dual representation not only reinforces understanding but also aids in memory retention and retrieval (Allen, et al., 2020). In the context of multimodal instruction, incorporating audio-visual elements such as videos, diagrams, and interactive media aligns with Dual Coding Theory by engaging both verbal and non-verbal channels, thereby providing a more comprehensive learning experience that supports listening comprehension (Ruck, 2022).

Cognitive Load Theory, developed by John Sweller in 1988, further complements the principles of multimodal instruction (Wang, et al.,2022). This theory focuses on the cognitive processes involved in learning and suggests that the human cognitive system has a limited capacity for processing information at any given time (Klahr, & Wallace, 2022). Sweller's theory posits that instructional design should aim to manage cognitive load effectively to avoid overwhelming learners (Sweller, 2020). Multimodal instruction can reduce cognitive overload by distributing information processing across multiple sensory channels, which helps to manage the demands placed on working memory (Ghanbari, et al., 2020). By integrating various modes of information delivery, such as audio, visuals, and text, multimodal instruction can alleviate the cognitive burden associated with processing complex auditory information (Shoumy, et al., 2020). This approach enables learners to process and integrate information more efficiently, enhancing their overall listening comprehension (Goh,& Vandergrift, 2021).

Together, these theories support the notion that multimodal instruction can be highly effective in improving listening skills. By leveraging the

strengths of both Dual Coding Theory and Cognitive Load Theory, multimodal instruction engages multiple cognitive channels and reduces the cognitive load on individual channels, thus fostering a more effective learning environment. This theoretical framework underlines the importance of integrating diverse instructional modes to support learners' cognitive processes and enhance their ability to comprehend and retain auditory information.

III. METHODOLOGY

This literature review systematically investigates the effectiveness of multimodal instruction in enhancing listening skills by drawing on a diverse array of sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and conference papers published between 2000 and 2024. The methodology for selecting and analyzing these sources is designed to ensure a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the topic.

Source Selection: The initial step involved conducting a thorough search across multiple academic databases, such as JSTOR, ERIC, Google Scholar, and specialized educational journals. Search terms included "multimodal instruction," "listening skills," "language acquisition," and "educational technology," among others (Tilburg, 2021). This search strategy aimed to capture a broad spectrum of research related to multimodal instructional methods and their impact on listening comprehension (Sharma, & Giannakos, 2020). Sources were selected based on their relevance to the topic, with a particular focus on studies that provided empirical data, theoretical analysis, or practical insights into multimodal instruction and listening skills (Li, 2020).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria The scope was refined by establishing inclusion criteria focused on studies that directly addressed the use of multimodal instruction and its effects on listening skills. Included studies had to explore multimodal instructional approaches integrating multiple media formats such as audio, visual, and text, provide evidence of their impact on listening skills through empirical research or theoretical exploration, and be published between 2000 and 2024. Studies were excluded if they did not focus on multimodal instruction, fell outside the specified timeframe, or lacked methodological rigor or relevance to the core topic (Kara, 2020).

Thematic Categorization, the review process involved organizing the findings into several thematic areas to enable a structured and detailed analysis. One key theme is the benefits of multimodal instruction, which explores how using multimodal approaches enhances listening skills (Mayer,2021). This includes examining how multimodal instruction improves student engagement, facilitates the understanding of complex auditory information, and enhances memory retention (Noroozi, et al., 2020). Studies within this theme highlight the effectiveness of integrating various instructional modes, such as audio recordings, visual aids, interactive exercises, and written text, in creating a richer learning experience and supporting diverse learning styles.

Challenges in Implementation This theme addresses the practical difficulties and barriers associated with implementing multimodal instruction. It includes discussions on the technological requirements needed to support multimodal teaching (Tan, et al., 2020), the necessity for adequate teacher training to effectively utilize multimodal resources and potential issues related to cognitive overload when presenting multiple forms of information simultaneously. This theme also considers the variability in learner responses and the challenges of adapting multimodal instruction to different educational contexts (Martinez-Maldonado, et al.,2023).

Pedagogical Implications This category explores the broader implications of multimodal instruction for teaching practices and curriculum design. It offers recommendations for educators on how to effectively incorporate multimodal strategies into their teaching, emphasizing the need for thoughtful integration of various instructional modes to maximize their benefits (Li, 2020). It also considers how multimodal instruction can be adapted to accommodate different learner needs, including strategies for balancing various media to enhance overall learning outcomes (Rahate, et al., 2022).

Analysis and Synthesis The findings from each theme were analyzed and synthesized to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of research on multimodal instruction and listening skills. This analysis involved identifying common trends, evaluating the effectiveness of different multimodal strategies, and assessing the impact of these methods on listening comprehension (Tan, et al., 2020). The synthesis aimed to highlight key insights, best practices, and areas where further research is needed, emphasizing gaps in the literature and potential avenues for future investigation (Tandon, et al., 2020).

By employing this structured approach, the literature review offers a thorough examination of multimodal instruction's role in improving listening skills, providing valuable insights for educators, researchers, and policymakers (Minor, 2023). The methodology ensures that the review captures a wide range of perspectives and evidence, contributing to a deeper understanding of how multimodal instruction can be effectively utilized to enhance language learning outcomes.

IV. MULTIMODAL INSTRUCTION IN ENHANCING LISTENING SKILLS

Research consistently demonstrates that multimodal instruction significantly enhances listening skills by leveraging the advantages of integrating various forms of media and instructional methods (Bouchey, 2021). Multimodal content, such as videos with subtitles, audio-visual materials, and interactive exercises, has been shown to lead to notable improvements in listening comprehension (Perez, 2022). This improvement is largely attributed to the reinforcement of information through multiple sensory channels, which helps in better retention and understanding of auditory input (Auerbach, & Gritton, 2022).

Videos with subtitles, for example, provide both visual and auditory stimuli, allowing learners to see the text while listening to the spoken words. This dual exposure reinforces the connection between written and spoken language, facilitating improved comprehension and recall (Wisniewska, & Mora, 2020). Similarly, audio-visual materials that combine sound and images can enhance understanding by providing context and visual cues that support the interpretation of spoken content (Michelsanti, et al.,2021). Interactive exercises, such as simulations and role-playing activities, engage learners actively and help them practice listening in dynamic, realistic scenarios, further consolidating their skills (Bsharat, & Barahmeh, 2020).

Moreover, multimodal instruction caters to diverse learning preferences and cognitive styles. Some learners may find visual aids and interactive elements particularly beneficial, while others may prefer audio or textual information (Bouchey, et,al., 2021). By incorporating a variety of instructional modes, educators can address different learning needs and preferences, thereby creating a more inclusive learning environment (Mitchell, & Sutherland, 2020). This approach not only makes learning more engaging but also allows students to interact with the content in ways that best suit their individual learning styles, leading to a more effective development of listening skills.

Despite the substantial benefits, the implementation of multimodal instruction comes with several challenges that educators must address to maximize its effectiveness. One major challenge is the need for adequate technological resources. Multimodal instruction often relies on various types of technology, including multimedia projectors, computers, and internet access (Rahate, et al., 2022). In educational settings where such resources are limited or unavailable, the full potential of multimodal instruction may not be realized (Pacheco, et al., 2021).

Another significant challenge is the necessity for comprehensive teacher training. Educators must be well-versed in how to effectively design and deliver multimodal lessons, integrating different media and instructional methods seamlessly. Without proper training, there is a risk that multimodal instruction could become disjointed or poorly implemented, which may diminish its effectiveness.

Additionally, there is the potential for cognitive overload if multimodal content is not managed carefully. When multiple forms of input are presented simultaneously, learners may become overwhelmed, particularly if the content is complex or if the instructional design does not align well with their cognitive capacities. This is especially pertinent for beginners or those with lower proficiency levels, who might struggle to process and integrate multiple forms of information at once.

The effectiveness of multimodal instruction can also vary depending on learners' proficiency levels and individual differences. For instance, beginners may find it challenging to simultaneously process auditory and visual information, leading to potential difficulties in comprehension (Conklin, etal.,2020). To address this, educators need to tailor multimodal strategies to match learners' proficiency levels and provide appropriate support to ensure that all students benefit from the multimodal approach (Qushem, et al., 2021).

In summary, while multimodal instruction offers significant benefits in enhancing listening skills by engaging multiple sensory channels and accommodating diverse learning preferences, it also presents challenges related to technology, teacher training, cognitive load, and learner proficiency. Educators must be mindful of these challenges and implement strategies to overcome them, ensuring that multimodal instruction is used

effectively to improve listening skills across varied learner demographics.

V. Pedagogical Implications

The review of literature on multimodal instruction reveals several crucial pedagogical implications for language teaching, offering practical guidance on how educators can effectively implement multimodal strategies to enhance listening skills. These implications underscore the importance of thoughtful integration, targeted teacher training, and a balanced instructional approach (Minor, 2023). Effective integration of multimodal strategies requires educators to consider the various ways in which different media can complement each other to support learning (Philippe, et al., 2020). Additionally, targeted teacher training is essential to equip educators with the skills needed to utilize multimodal resources effectively (Morell, 2020).

For effective multimodal instruction, educators must carefully design and integrate various instructional modes to enhance learning without causing cognitive overload. This involves selecting and combining audio, visual, and textual elements in a way that complements and reinforces each other (Baron, 2021). For instance, when using multimedia resources such as videos, it is important to ensure that the audio and visual components are synchronized and that visual aids support rather than distract from the auditory content (Kokoç, et al., 2020). Educators should also consider the complexity of the material and the learners' proficiency levels when designing multimodal activities, starting with simpler inputs and gradually increasing complexity as learners become more comfortable with processing multiple types of information simultaneously . Moreover, providing clear instructions and guidance on how to engage with multimodal content helps learners effectively navigate and benefit from the various instructional elements (Clark,& Mayer, 2023).

Teacher training programs play a critical role in the successful implementation of multimodal instruction. Training should focus on equipping educators with both theoretical knowledge and practical skills for designing and delivering multimodal lessons (Liang, & Lim, 2021). This includes training on the effective use of technology, such as multimedia projectors, interactive whiteboards, and online platforms (Smirnova, et al., 2020), as well as strategies for creating and integrating various instructional materials (Clark, & Mayer, 2023). Professional development should also address pedagogical strategies for assessing

the effectiveness of multimodal instruction and adapting techniques based on learner feedback and performance (Ramos, et al.,2022). Providing teachers with opportunities to practice designing multimodal lessons and to receive feedback on their approaches can further enhance their ability to implement multimodal instruction effectively (Lim, & Tan, 2022).

Balanced Approach Combining Multimodal and Traditional Methods

The review highlights the benefits of combining multimodal instruction with traditional teaching methods to create a more comprehensive and adaptable learning experience. While multimodal instruction can offer engaging and interactive experiences, traditional methods, such as focused listening drills, direct instruction, and structured practice, remain essential for reinforcing and consolidating listening skills (Bloomberg, 2021). A balanced approach allows educators to leverage the strengths of both instructional methods, catering to diverse learner needs and preferences (Ismailov,& Chiu, 2022). For example, educators might use multimodal activities to introduce new listening concepts and provide context, while employing traditional methods for targeted practice and assessment. This approach ensures that students receive a well-rounded education that addresses different aspects of listening skills development (Watts, & Peterson, 2021).

Effective multimodal instruction requires sensitivity to the diverse needs of learners. Educators should be mindful of varying learning styles, cultural backgrounds, and proficiency levels when designing and implementing multimodal lessons (Schneider, et al., 2020). Tailoring multimodal activities to accommodate these differences can enhance engagement and learning outcomes (Emerson, et al., 2020). For instance, providing options for learners to choose from different types of multimodal resources—such as interactive exercises, visual aids, or audio recordings—can help meet individual preferences and learning needs. Additionally, incorporating culturally relevant materials and examples can make multimodal instruction more relatable and meaningful for learners from diverse backgrounds (Kier, & Johnson, 2022).

To maximize the effectiveness of multimodal instruction, educators should engage in continuous evaluation and improvement of their teaching practices (Fjørtoft, 2020). This involves regularly assessing the impact of multimodal strategies on student learning and making adjustments based on observed outcomes and

feedback (Hafner, & Ho2020). Educators should use a variety of assessment methods, such as quizzes, listening comprehension tests, and learner surveys, to gauge the effectiveness of multimodal instruction and identify areas for improvement. Reflective practices, such as seeking feedback from colleagues and engaging in professional learning communities, can also contribute to the ongoing refinement of multimodal teaching approaches (Shim, & Thompson, 2022).In summary, the pedagogical implications of multimodal instruction emphasize the need for thoughtful integration of instructional elements, targeted teacher training, a balanced approach combining multimodal and traditional methods, adaptation to learner diversity, and continuous evaluation and improvement. By considering these factors, educators can effectively implement multimodal strategies to enhance listening skills and provide a richer, more engaging learning experience for their students.

VI. Conclusion

The literature review underscores that multimodal instruction represents a highly effective approach for enhancing listening skills in language education. By integrating various instructional modes—such as audio, visual, and interactive elements—multimodal instruction offers a comprehensive learning experience that caters to diverse cognitive styles and reinforces auditory information through multiple sensory channels. This approach not only improves listening comprehension and retention but also engages students more deeply by addressing different learning preferences and needs.

Despite its considerable advantages, the implementation of multimodal instruction presents several challenges that must be addressed to fully realize its benefits. These challenges include the need for adequate technological resources, the necessity for thorough teacher training, and the potential for cognitive overload when multiple forms of input are not well managed. Educators must navigate these challenges by ensuring that multimodal elements are thoughtfully integrated into lessons, providing appropriate training and support for teachers, and designing instructional materials that align with learners' cognitive capacities and proficiency levels.

Looking ahead, future research should focus on several key areas to further understand and optimize the use of multimodal instruction. First, investigating the long-term effects of multimodal instruction on listening skills can provide insights into its sustained impact and

effectiveness over extended periods. This includes exploring how multimodal approaches influence long-term retention of listening skills and their application in real-world contexts.

Second, research should examine the impact of multimodal instruction across diverse learner demographics. This involves studying how different groups—such as learners with varying proficiency levels, cultural backgrounds, and learning styles—respond to and benefit from multimodal strategies. Understanding these variations can help in tailoring multimodal instruction to better meet the needs of all learners, ensuring equitable and effective educational outcomes.

In conclusion, while multimodal instruction offers significant potential for enhancing listening skills, addressing the associated challenges and conducting further research are crucial for maximizing its effectiveness. By focusing on the long-term impacts and demographic variations, educators and researchers can continue to refine and improve multimodal instructional practices, ultimately contributing to more effective and inclusive language education.

REFERENCES

[1]. Allen, R. J., Hill, L. J. B., Eddy, L. H., & Waterman, A. H. (2020). Exploring the effects of demonstration and enactment in facilitating recall of instructions in working memory. Memory & Cognition, 48(3), 400–410. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13421-019-00978-6

[2]. An Overview of Deep-Learning-Based Audio-Visual Speech Enhancement and Separation | IEEE Journals & Magazine | IEEE Xplore. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9380418

[3]. Anis, M., & Khan, R. (2023). Integrating Multimodal Approaches in English Language Teaching for Inclusive Education: A Pedagogical Exploration. Universal Journal of Educational Research, 2(3), 241–257.

[4]. Auerbach, B. D., & Gritton, H. J. (2022). Hearing in Complex Environments: Auditory Gain Control, Attention, and Hearing Loss. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2022.799787

[5]. Ba, S., Stein, D., Liu, Q., Long, T., Xie, K., & Wu, L. (2021). Examining the Effects of a Pedagogical Agent With Dual-Channel

Emotional Cues on Learner Emotions, Cognitive Load, and Knowledge Transfer Performance. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 59(6), 1114–1134. https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633121992421

[6]. Barkley, E. F., & Major, C. H. (2020). Student Engagement Techniques: A Handbook for College Faculty. John Wiley & Sons.

[7]. Bloomberg, L. D. (2021). Designing and Delivering Effective Online Instruction: How to Engage Adult Learners. Teachers College Press.

[8]. Brown, S. M., Doom, J. R., Lechuga-Peña, S., Watamura, S. E., & Koppels, T. (2020). Stress and parenting during the global COVID-19 pandemic. Child Abuse & Neglect, 110, 104699. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104699

[9]. Bsharat, T. R. K., & Barahmeh, M. Y. (2020). The Influence of Applying Educational Active Drama in Enhancing Speaking Skills in Teaching- Learning English Language: A Theoretical Response. Technium Social Sciences Journal, 14, 10.

[10]. CAN CAPTIONED VIDEO BENEFIT SECOND LANGUAGE PRONUNCIATION? | Studies in Second Language Acquisition | Cambridge Core. https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/studies-in-second-language-acquisition/article/abs/can-captioned-video-benefit-second-language-pronunciation/77D4AA3A5C6EBF6800157C1BAA00149F#

[11]. Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2023a). e-Learning and the Science of Instruction: Proven Guidelines for Consumers and Designers of Multimedia Learning. John Wiley & Sons.

[12]. Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2023b). e-Learning and the Science of Instruction: Proven Guidelines for Consumers and Designers of Multimedia Learning. John Wiley & Sons.

[13]. Cognitive Neuroscience of Language. (2022). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781138318427

[14]. Corbett, J. (2022). An Intercultural Approach to English Language Teaching. Multilingual Matters.

[15]. Deldjoo, Y., Trippas, J. R., & Zamani, H. (2021). Towards Multi-Modal Conversational Information Seeking. Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, 1577–1587. https://doi.org/10.1145/3404835.3462806

[16]. Designing Learning for Multimodal Literacy: Teaching Viewing and Representing. (2022). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003258513

[17]. Designing Learning with Embodied Teaching: Perspectives from Multimodality. (2020). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429353178

[18]. Education Sciences | Free Full-Text | Multimodal Technologies in Precision Education: Providing New Opportunities or Adding More Challenges https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/11/7/338

[19]. Emerson, A., Cloude, E. B., Azevedo, R., & Lester, J. (2020). Multimodal learning analytics for game-based learning. British Journal of Educational Technology, 51(5), 1505–1526. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12992

[20]. Fjørtoft, H. (2020). Multimodal digital classroom assessments. Computers & Education, 152, 103892. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103892

[21]. Four years of collaboration in a professional learning community: Shifting toward supporting students' epistemic practices—Shim—2022—Science Education—Wiley Online Library. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/sce.21704

[22]. Hafner, C. A., & Ho, W. Y. J. (2020). Assessing digital multimodal composing in second language writing: Towards a process-based model. Journal of Second Language Writing, 47, 100710. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2020.100710

[23]. Helen, K. (2020). Creative Research Methods: A Practical Guide. Policy Press.

[24]. How We Read Now: Strategic Choices for Print, Screen, and Audio—Naomi Baron—Googlehttps://books.google.com.my/books?hl=zh-CN&lr=&id=ka8gEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=This+involves+selecting+and+combining+audio,+visual,+and+textual+elements+in+a+way+that+complements+and+reinforces+each+other&ots=A0kXnZlTn2&sig=WDYRLYSVlO-

O2EZ9JUXKBuEBN_k&redir_esc=y#v=on
epage&q&f=false

[25]. Ismail, S., ali, nurul, Rahim, S., & azin, siti. (2023). Easy Access Center (EAC552) for Centralized Materials and Assessment's Guidelines for Course Philosophy and Current Issues.

[26]. Ismailov, M., & Chiu, T. K. F. (2022). Catering to Inclusion and Diversity With Universal Design for Learning in Asynchronous Online Education: A Self-Determination Theory Perspective. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.819884

[27]. Jiang, L., Yu, S., & Zhao, Y. (2021). Teacher engagement with digital multimodal composing in a Chinese tertiary EFL curriculum. Language Teaching Research, 25(4), 613–632. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168819864975

[28]. Kendeou, P., McMaster, K. L., Butterfuss, R., Kim, J., Bresina, B., & Wagner, K. (2020). The Inferential Language Comprehension (iLC) Framework: Supporting Children's Comprehension of Visual Narratives. Topics in Cognitive Science, 12(1), 256–273. https://doi.org/10.1111/tops.12457

[29]. Kier, M. W., & Johnson, L. L. (2022). Exploring How Secondary STEM Teachers and Undergraduate Mentors Adapt Digital Technologies to Promote Culturally Relevant Education during COVID-19. Education Sciences, 12(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12010048

[30]. Kim, Y., & Belcher, D. (2020). Multimodal Composing and Traditional Essays: Linguistic Performance and Learner Perceptions. RELC Journal, 51(1), 86–100. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688220906943

[31]. Klahr, D., & Wallace, J. G. (2022). Cognitive Development: An Information-Processing View. Taylor & Francis.

[32]. Kokoç, M., IIgaz, H., & Altun, A. (2020). Effects of sustained attention and video lecture types on learning performances. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(6), 3015–3039. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09829-7

[33]. Levin, M. (2022). Technological Approach to Mind Everywhere: An Experimentally-Grounded Framework for Understanding Diverse Bodies and Minds. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, 16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2022.768201

[34]. Li, M. (2020a). Multimodal pedagogy in TESOL teacher education: Students' perspectives. System, 94, 102337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2020.102337

[35]. Li, M. (2020b). Multimodal pedagogy in TESOL teacher education: Students' perspectives. System, 94, 102337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2020.102337

[36]. Li, M. (2020c). Multimodal pedagogy in TESOL teacher education: Students' perspectives. System, 94, 102337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2020.102337

[37]. Liang, W. J., & Lim, F. V. (2021). A pedagogical framework for digital multimodal composing in the English Language classroom. Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching, 15(4), 306–320. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2020.1800709

[38]. Martinez-Maldonado, R., Echeverria, V., Fernandez-Nieto, G., Yan, L., Zhao, L., Alfredo, R., Li, X., Dix, S., Jaggard, H., Wotherspoon, R., Osborne, A., Shum, S. B., & Gašević, D. (2023). Lessons Learnt from a Multimodal Learning Analytics Deployment In-the-Wild. ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact., 31(1), 8:1-8:41. https://doi.org/10.1145/3622784

[39]. Medina, A., Socarrás, G., & Krishnamurti, S. (2020). L2 Spanish Listening Comprehension: The Role of Speech Rate, Utterance Length, and L2 Oral Proficiency. The Modern Language Journal, 104(2), 439–456. https://doi.org/10.1111/modl.12639

[40]. Mirra, N., & Garcia, A. (2021). In Search of the Meaning and Purpose of 21st-Century Literacy Learning: A Critical Review of Research and Practice. Reading Research Quarterly, 56(3), 463–496. https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.313

[41]. Mohammadi, F., Keyvanara, M., & Samouei, R. (2020). Awareness and attitude of students and professors of medical sciences universities toward social determinants of health: Design and preliminary psychometrics of a questionnaire. Journal of Education and Health Promotion, 9(1), 116. https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_776_19

[42]. Moon, T. K. (2020). Error Correction Coding: Mathematical Methods and Algorithms. John Wiley & Sons.

[43]. Morell, T. (2020). EMI teacher training with a multimodal and interactive approach: A new horizon for LSP specialists. Language Value, 12(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.6035/LanguageV.2020.12.4

[44]. Morrow, L. M., Morrell, E., & Casey, H. K. (2023a). Best Practices in Literacy Instruction. Guilford Publications.

[45]. Morrow, L. M., Morrell, E., & Casey, H. K. (2023b). Best Practices in Literacy Instruction. Guilford Publications.

[46]. Multimodal data indicators for capturing cognitive, motivational, and emotional learning processes: A systematic literature review | Education and Information Technologies. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10639-020-10229-w

[47]. Perez, M. M. (2022). Second or foreign language learning through watching audio-visual input and the role of on-screen text. Language Teaching, 55(2), 163–192. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444821000501

[48]. Philippe, S., Souchet, A. D., Lameras, P., Petridis, P., Caporal, J., Coldeboeuf, G., & Duzan, H. (2020a). Multimodal teaching, learning and training in virtual reality: A review and case study. Virtual Reality & Intelligent Hardware, 2(5), 421–442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vrih.2020.07.008

[49]. Philippe, S., Souchet, A. D., Lameras, P., Petridis, P., Caporal, J., Coldeboeuf, G., & Duzan, H. (2020b). Multimodal teaching, learning and training in virtual reality: A review and case study. Virtual Reality & Intelligent Hardware, 2(5), 421–442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vrih.2020.07.008

[50]. Rahate, A., Walambe, R., Ramanna, S., & Kotecha, K. (2022a). Multimodal Co-learning: Challenges, applications with datasets, recent advances and future directions. Information Fusion, 81, 203–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2021.12.003

[51]. Rahate, A., Walambe, R., Ramanna, S., & Kotecha, K. (2022b). Multimodal Co-learning: Challenges, applications with datasets, recent advances and future directions. Information Fusion, 81, 203–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2021.12.003

[52]. Ramos, J. L., Cattaneo, A. A. P., de Jong, F. P. C. M., & Espadeiro, R. G. (2022). Pedagogical models for the facilitation of teacher professional development via video-supported collaborative learning. A review of the state of the art. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 54(5), 695–718. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2021.1911720

[53]. Ruck, J. (2022). Elementary-level learners' engagement with multimodal resources in two audio-visual genres. The Language Learning Journal, 50(3), 328–343. https://doi.org/10.1080/09571736.2020.1752291

[54]. Rukthong, A., & Brunfaut, T. (2020). Is anybody listening? The nature of second language listening in integrated listening-to-summarize tasks. Language Testing, 37(1), 31–53. https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532219871470

[55]. Ryoo, J., & Winkelmann, K. (2021). Innovative Learning Environments in STEM Higher Education: Opportunities, Challenges, and Looking Forward. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58948-6

[56]. Scaffolding Multimodal Composition With Emergent Bilingual Students—Mark B. Pacheco, Blaine E. Smith, Amber Deig, Natalie A. Amgott, 2021.https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/1086296X211010888

[57]. Schneider, B., Reilly, J., & Radu, I. (2020). Lowering Barriers for Accessing Sensor Data in Education: Lessons Learned from Teaching Multimodal Learning Analytics to Educators. Journal for STEM Education Research, 3(1), 91–124. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41979-020-00027-x

[58]. Sharma, K., & Giannakos, M. (2020a). Multimodal data capabilities for learning: What can multimodal data tell us about learning? British Journal of Educational Technology, 51(5), 1450–1484. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12993

[59]. Sharma, K., & Giannakos, M. (2020b). Multimodal data capabilities for learning: What can multimodal data tell us about learning? British Journal of Educational Technology, 51(5), 1450–1484. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12993

[60]. Shoumy, N. J., Ang, L.-M., Seng, K. P., Rahaman, D. M. M., & Zia, T. (2020). Multimodal big data affective analytics: A comprehensive survey using text, audio, visual and physiological signals. Journal of

Network and Computer Applications, 149, 102447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2019.102447

[61]. Skulmowski, A., & Xu, K. M. (2022a). Understanding Cognitive Load in Digital and Online Learning: A New Perspective on Extraneous Cognitive Load. Educational Psychology Review, 34(1), 171–196. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09624-7

[62]. Skulmowski, A., & Xu, K. M. (2022b). Understanding Cognitive Load in Digital and Online Learning: A New Perspective on Extraneous Cognitive Load. Educational Psychology Review, 34(1), 171–196. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09624-7

[63]. Smirnova, Z. V., Vaganova, O. I., Vinnikova, I. S., Lapshova, A. V., & Golubeva, O. V. (2020). Modern Multimedia Didactic Tools of Interactive Training.E. G. Popkova , Growth Poles of the Global Economy: Emergence, Changes and Future Perspectives . Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-15160-7_49

[64]. Smith, B. E., Pacheco, M. B., & Khorosheva, M. (2021). Emergent Bilingual Students and Digital Multimodal Composition: A Systematic Review of Research in Secondary Classrooms. Reading Research Quarterly, 56(1), 33–52. https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.298

[65]. Sweller, J. (2020). Cognitive load theory and educational technology. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-019-09701-3

[66]. Sweller, J. (2022). The Role of Evolutionary Psychology in Our Understanding of Human Cognition: Consequences for Cognitive Load Theory and Instructional Procedures. Educational Psychology Review, 34(4), 2229–2241. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09647-0

[67]. Tan, L., Zammit, K., D'warte, J., & Gearside, A. (2020). Assessing multimodal literacies in practice: A critical review of its implementations in educational settings. Language and Education, 34(2), 97–114. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500782.2019.1708926

[68]. Tandon, A., Dhir, A., Islam, A. K. M. N., & Mäntymäki, M. (2020). Blockchain in healthcare: A systematic literature review, synthesizing framework and future research

agenda. Computers in Industry, 122, 103290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2020.103290

[69]. Teaching and Learning Second Language Listening: Metacognition in Action. (2021). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429287749

[70]. Teng, (Mark) Feng. (2022). Vocabulary learning through videos: Captions, advance-organizer strategy, and their combination. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 35(3), 518–550. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2020.1720253

[71]. The connections and disconnections between teacher education policy and research: Reframing evidence: Oxford Review of Education: Vol 47, No 1. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03054985.2020.1842179

[72]. Tour, E., & Barnes, M. (2022). Engaging English language learners in digital multimodal composing: Pre-service teachers' perspectives and experiences. Language and Education, 36(3), 243–258. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500782.2021.1912083

[73]. Virtual Reality as Pedagogical Tool to Enhance Experiential Learning: A Systematic Literature Review—Asad—2021—Education Research International—Wiley Online Library.https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1155/2021/7061623

[74]. Wang, Y., Feng, X., Guo, J., Gong, S., Wu, Y., & Wang, J. (2022). Benefits of Affective Pedagogical Agents in Multimedia Instruction. Frontiers in Psychology, 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.797236

[75]. Watts, P., Fullard, M., & Peterson, A. (2021). Understanding Character Education: Approaches, Applications and Issues. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).

[76]. What eye-tracking tells us about reading-only and reading-while-listening in a first and second language—Kathy Conklin, Sara Alotaibi, Ana Pellicer-Sánchez, Laura Vilkaitė-Lozdienė, 2020. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0267658320921496

[77]. What Really Works in Special and Inclusive Education | Using Evidence-. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9780429401923/really-works-

special-inclusive-education-david-mitchell-dean-sutherland

[78]. Zhang, R., & Zou, D. (2022). A state-of-the-art review of the modes and effectiveness of multimedia input for second and foreign language learning. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 35(9), 2790–2816. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2021.1896555.