



# Non-Fungible Token (NFT) and Decentralized Finance

Sina Osivand<sup>1</sup>, Hanieh Abolhasani<sup>1\*</sup>

1. Group management of TOBTC, Iran.

Date of Submission: 17-09-2021

Date of Acceptance: 02-10-2021

## ABSTRACT

The Non-Fungible Token (NFT) market is mushrooming in the recent couple of years. The concept of NFT originally comes from a token standard of Ethereum, aiming to distinguish each token with distinguishable signs. This type of tokens can be bound with virtual/digital properties as their unique identifications. With NFTs, all marked properties can be freely traded with customized values according to their ages, rarity, liquidity, etc. It has greatly stimulated the prosperity of the decentralized application (DApp) market. The blockchain and cryptoasset sector, since coming to the attention of the mainstream business and financial markets during the bitcoin bull run of 2017, continues to accelerate and evolve rapidly. Decentralized finance (DeFi), a new iteration of what was previously referred to as open finance, has emerged as an innovative use case and service enabled by blockchain technology. As with any innovation or new tool, however, there remains a range of questions and considerations that will have to be addressed prior to wider adoption and utilization. However, the development of the NFT ecosystem is still in its early stage, and the technologies of NFTs are pre-mature. Newcomers may get lost in their frenetic evolution due to the lack of systematic summaries. In this technical report, we explore the NFT ecosystems in several aspects. We start with an overview of state-of-the-art NFT solutions, then provide their technical components, protocols, standards and desired properties. This research attempts to contextualize the development of DeFi, frame it within the blockchain and cryptoasset sector, and explain potential obstacles and challenges to further development. Subsequent to this examination of DeFi trends, challenges, and opportunities, a potential framework for further development and implementation will be presented.

**KEYWORDS:** Blockchain · NFT · DApp · Smart contract, Decentralized finance

## I. INTRODUCTION

Blockchain and cryptoassets have, and continue to, disrupt the financial services sector and how both individuals and institutions access capital and other financial information. That said, there has emerged a trend and direction that can be viewed as somewhat paradoxical to the original intent and idea of bitcoin and cryptocurrencies. As larger institutions, including large multinational organizations and institutional investors allocate capital and personnel to the sector, this has led to a pivot to more permissioned, private, otherwise more centralized blockchain options. Accompanying these investments has been the proliferation of new applications, as well as increased scrutiny and regulatory interest (Kharif, 2020a). These developments, although necessary for increased institutional adoption and acceptance of blockchain and cryptoassets, have led to some developers to build out a new set of applications and services. Specifically, decentralized finance (DeFi) seems to represent a return more of a loosely organized operating structure and business model as opposed to the more centralized options that have been proliferating the marketplace, and represents the potential for truly decentralized finance. What this paper attempts to do is as follows. Firstly, the research conducted within this piece will examine, analyze, and explain the mechanics of DeFi as they connect to both blockchain technology as well as current financial services. Secondly, and building on the fundamentals established in the first section of this research, certain accounting specific opportunities and challenges will be presented and documented. This section will include accounting reporting and valuation issues, as well as how these accounting issues can impact business implementation. Lastly, and rounding out the research, a potential framework for integrating physical assets with DeFi technology will be presented.



### Token Standards

The most prevailing token standard comes from ERC-20. It introduces the concept of fungible tokens that can be issued on top of Ethereum once satisfying the requirements. The standard makes tokens the same as another one (in terms of both type and value). An arbitrary token is always equal to all the other tokens. This stimulates the hype of Initial Coin Offering (ICO) from 2015 to present. A lot of public chains and various blockchain-based DApps gain sufficient initial findings in this way. In contrast, ERC-721 introduces a non-fungible token standard that differs from the fungible token. This type of token is unique that can be distinguished from another token. Specifically, every NFT has a uint256 variable called tokenId, and the pair of contract address and uint256 tokenId is globally unique. Further, the tokenId can be used as an input to generate special identifications such as images in the form of zombies or cartoon characters.

### NFTs Desired Properties

NFT schemes are essentially decentralized applications, and thus enjoy the benefits/properties from their underlying public ledgers. We summarise the key properties as follows.

- Verifiability. The NFT with its token metadata and its ownership can be publicly verified.
- Transparent Execution. The activities of NFTs include minting, selling and purchasing are publicly accessible.
- Availability. The NFT system never goes down. Alternatively, all the tokens and issued NFTs are always available to sell and buy.
- Tamper-resistance. The NFT metadata and its trading records are persistently stored and cannot be manipulated once the transactions are deemed confirmed.
- Usability. Every NFT has the most up-to-date ownership information, which is user-friendly and information-clearly.
- Atomicity. Trading NFTs can be completed in one atomic, consistent, isolated, and durable (ACID) transaction. The NFTs can run in the same shared execution state.
- Tradability. Every NFTs and its corresponding products can be arbitrarily traded and exchanged.

### DEFI CHARACTERISTICS & POTENTIAL

Several of the core tenants of DeFi applications seem to, on the one hand, be a return and linkage back to the original idea and ideals of bitcoin itself. Specifically, DeFi protocols and projects enable lower cost, faster, and more efficient

cross border payments and transactions, similar to how bitcoin was designed to facilitate remittances and other international transfers. While every DeFi project will be different, and will operate in a slightly different manner, there are several core characteristics that are common to virtually every project in the marketplace that are consistent with governance and operating a decentralized network (Hughes & Smith, 1991). Not presented as an exhaustive nor all-inclusive listing, these common characteristics should be used to form the basis for more robust and comprehensive analysis of said projects. While not a cure-all solution nor a guarantor of no additional issues, smart contracts do allow a certain level of automation to take place while also allowing some level of manual review to still occur. On the other hand, smart contracts and the increased errors that can result from increased automation mitigates against solely relying on smart contracts and automated execution (Morris, 2020). The potential and possible upside of DeFi applications and use cases expand far beyond relatively straight forward crypto lending and crypto borrowing to more emerging concepts such as Universal Basis Income (UBI). Once considered a fringe or not terribly realistic idea or concept, the idea of UBI has more recently moved to the forefront of the macroeconomic conversation. Rounding out the discussion on the potential and upside of DeFi applications prior to returning to more operationally focused tasks and processes, the potential impact of flash loans and increased accessibility to credit and other financial resources is difficult to overstate. Although the underpinning idea of blockchain and bitcoin in particular was how to enable greater accessibility at lower costs, DeFi may ultimately be how these aspirations become reality.

Clearly there are control and disclosure considerations that are connected to DeFi applications, and it is worth allocating some time to examine them (Bain, 2020). Especially pertinent for DeFi application are the (worthwhile) concerns that can be raised with regards to Know-Your-Customer (KYC) and Anti-Money Laundering (AML) laws. Honing in on the specific issues that could curtail further investment and growth in the space is also focused around the idea of interoperability. The intersection of the crypto economy with the fiat economy is an issue that is worthy of additional analysis, and is one that is a factor at every decision point along the way. A common refrain among some blockchain and crypto skeptics is that there remains a bifurcation between these two sets of economies, and the potential



damages that can occur should these gaps remain. In a practical sense what this results in is a cryptoasset landscape and outlook that is separated and potentially broken off from the still much larger fiat based economy. One such example of how this integration and overlap continues to expand is represented by the rapid growth in the nonfungible token (NFT) sector (Leising, 2021), which are yet another recent application and iteration of the blockchain and crypto economy. In addition to the regulations linked to customer knowledge and identification, the importance of collateral and the ability to interoperate with that collateral is important. For example, if a DeFi organization both lends and operates in solely certain types of cryptoassets, how will this entity have the ability to work with fiat based banking institutions? Even seemingly simple issues as what accounting or book-keeping software to use can quickly become an exceedingly complicated issue when real world examples are brought to the table. Adding on to this issue is also the importance of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) coverage, i.e., how are the reserves secured?

Despite NFTs have a tremendous potential impact on the current decentralized markets and the future business opportunities, the NFT technologies are still in the very early stage. Some potential challenges are required to be carefully tackled, while some promising opportunities should be highlighted. Further, even though much literature on NFTs, from blogs, wikis, forum posts, codes and other sources, are available to the public, a systematic study is absent. This paper aims to draw attention to these questions insofar as observed and focus on summarising current NFT solutions. We provide a detailed analysis of its core components, technology roadmap status, opportunities, and challenges. The contributions are provided as follows.

#### **DEFI ACCOUNTING CHALLENGES**

An issue that spans crypto applications across the board is the volatility that is commonly associated with, or linked to, cryptoassets of all kinds, as well as the implications for this volatility on DeFi functionality and operations. Even a relatively simple DeFi transaction, crypto lending or borrowing, can be complicated by the price volatility of an underlying asset. Considering that many of these DeFi transactions need to be collateralized in excess of the amounts borrowed – sometimes in excess of over 150% – any change in the price of the underlying can cause these covenants to be breached. Instead of simply requiring paperwork,

however, a breach of these collateralization clauses can lead to the immediate liquidation of the smart contract itself. Debt covenants, and managing the risks associated with the potential breaching of these debt covenants are, of course, a routine part of any treasury or cash management function in an institution. The primary difference in the DeFi sector is that this is driven by the potential volatility of the underlying asset serving as collateral or otherwise equivalent basis for the loan or financing being provided. Even as DeFi applications and organization grow in scale, scope, and assets under management (AUM), this embedded potential risk is something that has seemingly flown under the radar.

#### **Smart contracts**

Secondly, and circling back to the topic of smart contracts, these semi-autonomous blockchain applications simultaneously allow organizations to operate in a decentralized manner, but also create risks. Benefits of smart contracts driving the operations of an entity or firm are that integrating smart contracts into the management of a firm allows fewer manual touch points and opportunities for delays and control breaches to occur. On the other side, however, increased automation and digitization of processes and protocols does introduce the potential for incomplete or erroneous processes simply being completed faster. In addition, there are also risks that can be introduced with regards to what specific individuals have access to, or control over, the underlying code that drives and manages the smart contracts themselves.

#### **STABLECOINS**

To enable a robust conversation around DeFi it is integral to understand the role and potential use cases for stablecoins in this emerging conversation. Developed as an alternative to decentralized stablecoins, the purported benefits of stablecoins include, but are not limited to, the following. Many of the issues that are commonly associated with cryptocurrencies, but perhaps most pointedly the price volatility commonly linked to bitcoin and others, can be potentially offset or even resolved via the broader introduction of stablecoins (Kharif, 2019). Prior to any further discussion or conversation around the potential overlap of stablecoins for new and emerging blockchain applications such as DeFi it is important to break down and understand what exactly stablecoins are, and how they are differentiated from existing decentralized



cryptocurrencies. Drilling deeper into this concept, there are also several different types of stablecoins that can be utilized by any number of larger DeFi initiatives, which highlights the need for consistent and objective crypto taxonomy to be a part of any crypto asset conversation (van der Merwe, 2021). For example, there are stablecoins that are pegged or connected specifically to one fiat currency such as the U.S. dollar, which accounts for the majority of stablecoins currently in the marketplace. In addition, there is the potential for some stablecoins to be connected, pegged, or tethered to some external commodity such as gold, oil, or other external asset. There are also other options that have entered into the marketplace that are stablecoins connected to other stablecoins, or have a value derived from an algorithmic formulation. In other words, these are stablecoins that are instruments or derivatives of other cryptocurrencies; this results in one cryptocurrency serving as the default reserve currency for these other instruments.

#### OPERATIONAL CHALLENGES

In addition to the blockchain and crypto asset specific issues, there are also operational items that are unique to the DeFi sector and space. Specifically, one of the major issues that has been raised to date is that many blockchain applications are not always connected to existing financial markets, external assets, or other traditional items. This continues to exist even as organizations debut and launch specific funds and other financial market projects connected to DeFi during the 2021 bull run for crypto at large (Grelfield & Hajric, 2021). Although this has begun to be addressed via the rise of tokenized assets based on tangible and physical assets, there is still another item that can potentially fly under the collective radar of financial services; the crypto dependency of the DeFi sector. To some market participants this might seem like a beneficial attribute of the entire DeFi concept and sector, but upon closer inspection this is a limiting factor that could provide a substantial headwind moving forward. While it is true that the blockchain and crypto asset sector has expanded dramatically since bitcoin was first introduced in late 2008/early 2009, it is still dwarfed by the fiat-based commercial payment system. Specifically, something that needs to be understood is that while organic solutions are indeed being developed and implemented in the marketplace, there is also reciprocating movement being delivered by incumbent institutions and regulators. These include, but are not limited to, the efforts and initiatives underway at financial institutions such as JP Morgan,

Visa, PayPal, and others; these should be seen as yet another sign of blockchain and crypto maturation. That said, even though there are continuing advancements in product and service offerings, there remains a significant obstacle; the lack of cash flow linked to crypto assets.

#### Decentralized Finance Cash Flow

An additional consideration that should be part of an evaluation of DeFi projects is the importance of cash flow generation as a part of the initiative. Stocks and bonds have certain cash flows associated with them, and while these can certainly vary from instrument to instrument, the underlying fact remains the same. Investors, particularly the larger institutional investors that contribute significantly to market liquidity and price discovery, expect and in some cases rely on cash flows to fulfill investment goals. To that end, to truly expand the concept of DeFi from a niche area to one more amenable to mainstream investors, these projects should also be linked to projects that – if not self-sustaining – at least generate some level of cash flow for investors. Organizations have existed. Rewards points, membership rewards, and airline miles are mainstays of the retail and consumer economy; DeFi can and already is leveraging this familiarity. Commonly referred to as utility tokens, these coins and tokens can also play a role in financial market development, especially if they are issued and connected to tangible financial assets. Shares, represented by a coin or token, can represent a share in the management of an organization, and while every individual project will operate in its own distinct manner, there are several core examples that are relevant for any discussion around coin or token classification.

#### Tokenized

An emerging trend that is quickly expanding and developing in the DeFi space is the idea and concept of tokenizing physical real-world assets. What this means will vary from project to project, but the general process will include the following components. The specifics of this will be documented in more detail below, but generally are developed to allow assets holders to generate liquidity from existing assets. Drilling down into the tokenization process, and examining some of the issues linked to tokenizing assets in general, there are several core concepts and ideas that are part of this process. The asset in question needs to be identified correctly, and this might be a more extensive process than it might appear on the surface. To launch a DeFi operation, and to tokenize



certain properties and other physical assets there needs to be a clearcut ownership structure, which can be further complicated due to legal or jurisdictional issues (Mehboob, 2020).

### Fraud Considerations

Any conversation around the proliferation of DeFi and associated applications would be incomplete without a conversation around the potential for fraud and other unethical activity connected to the sector. Specifically, there are potentially worrying signs of a parallels connected to the previous ICO bubble that should be acknowledged as emerging factors in the DeFi sector. Perhaps most obviously is the correlation that can be drawn between the hype and excitement that had previously surrounded the ICO aspect of the cryptocurrency marketplace. Based in large part around the information asymmetry that still exists in the blockchain and crypto asset sector, DeFi introduces an additional layer of decentralization to this conversation. Seemingly an obvious point to make, this also creates numerous other opportunities for fraud or other unethical activity to occur. These factors and trends are also increasing the need for comprehensive audit and assurance standards updates and modifications (Ryan, 2021).

### POTENTIAL FRAMEWORK FOR IMPLEMENTATION

To achieve broader and wider mainstream adoption it is necessary to develop and achieve more institutional usage it seems apparent that the development of a framework for implementation will be necessary. Constructing this bridge between different classes and types of financial systems is going to also require, potentially, the creation of new assets and asset reporting methodology. This also raises the following question; what existing assets, if any, are an appropriate or well considered fit for DeFi adoption and implementation? Taking a look at the expectations of coin and token holders, as well as some of the fundamental characteristics of DeFi at large, there are several asset categories and characteristics that seem to make the most sense.

Assets to serve as the basis for a DeFi based financial system should, before any other considerations are taken into account, be relatively stable in value and not experience large price swings and volatility. That is not to say that these assets must hold prices steady for an indefinite period; that is impossible. Rather, that would seem to point to assets such as real estate, infrastructure related

assets, or intangible assets relatively static in market valuation. Additional assets that might seem to make sense are stablecoins that actually are stable in value, or some forms of intangible assets that are either relatively static in value, or only subject to periodic examinations.

In order for DeFi applications and the underlying assets become a larger part of the economic conversation, it is also going to be necessary for the fundamental business and use cases to be transparent and understandable. To that end, and linking back to an earlier point, the assets themselves are going to need to be at least partially self-sustaining in order for this relatively new business model to operate as advertised. In terms of the assets, however, there is also going to need to be a conversation and evaluation around how these assets are safeguarded. Clearly there are blockchain and crypto specific risks and control issues that need to be taken into account, but even simply for the underlying asset itself, there are going to be additional items that will need to be reported and accounted for a DeFi project.

### Policy Considerations

After analyzing the current state of the DeFi landscape, as well as the economic factors that are driving this sector forward leads to the following question; what are some of the steps that can be implemented to help improve the potential success of such a concept? Specifically, is there a framework or policy program that would be able to be developed that could bring together the crypto economy and the fiat economy? While it is too early to state definitively how this merging will ultimately play out, there do appear to be several considerations that should form the basis for any such framework.

A specific approach that can be taken is the implementation and proliferation of sandbox environments. Sandboxes allow for the experimentation and development of innovative and non-conventional ways of doing business without having to remain in full compliance with a full suit of regulatory obligations. There are certainly reasons why these rules and guidelines exist, but it is unreasonable to expect that start-up organizations will be able to contend with them equally as well as long-established incumbent entities.

**NFT Interoperability (cross-chain).** Existing NFT ecosystems are isolated from each other. Users once have selected one type of product can only sell/buy/trade them within the same ecosystem/network. This is due to the



reason of its underlying blockchain platform. Interoperability and cross-chain communication are always the handicaps for the wide adoption of DApps. Based on the observations from [116], cross-chain communications can only be implemented with the help of external trusted parties. The decentralization property, in this way, has been inevitably lost to some extent. But fortunately, most of the NFT-related projects adopt Ethereum as their underlying platform. This indicates that they share a similar data structure and can exchange under the same rules.

**Updatable NFTs.** Transitional blockchain updates their protocols through the soft forks (minor modifications that are compatible forwards) and hard forks (significant modifications that may conflict with previous protocols). A formal discussion has been provided in [75] stating the difficulties and trade-offs when applying the updates to an existing blockchain. Despite the generic model, the new blockchain still has strict requirements such as tolerating specific adversarial behaviour and staying online during the update process. NFT schemes closely rely on their underlying platforms and keep consistent with them. Although the data are often stored in separate components (such as the IPFS file system), the most important logic and token IDs are still recorded on-chain. Properly updating the system with improvements will be a necessity.

## II. CONCLUSIONS

DeFi and the entire ecosystem of blockchain based applications are clearly an emerging and fast growing aspect of the wider crypto economy. In order for this innovative use case to achieve wider and more mainstream adoption, however, there are several considerations that need to be examined in more depth as well as potentially resolved. Be it the operational challenges that will invariably arise as a result of new technology applications, the regulatory landscape that continues to be ambiguous, or the continuing accounting and taxation standards that need to be updated there are certainly still many potential roadblocks to full implementation and adoption of DeFi by market participants. This research and analysis should not be viewed as an all-encompassing or solely authoritative guide to these issues. Rather, this research and the findings presented herein should be used as a starting point for more robust and rigorous debate.

Non-Fungible Token (NFT) is an emerging technology prevailing in the blockchain market. In this report, we explore the state-of-the-art NFT

solutions which may shape the market of digital/virtual assets going forward. We firstly analyze the technical components and provide the design models and properties. Then, we evaluate the security of current NFT systems and further discuss the opportunities and potential applications that adopt the NFT concept. Finally, we outline existing research challenges that require to be solved before achieving mass-market penetration. We hope this report delivers timely analysis and summary of existing proposed solutions and projects, making it easier for newcomers to keep up with the current progress.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Bain, B. (2020). U.S. Warns Crypto Stablecoins on Money Laundering, Risk Controls. Bloomberg.Com. <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-12-23/u-s-warns-crypto-stablecoins-on-money-laundering-risk-controls> Accessed 20 April 2021.
- [2]. Cai, W., Wang, Z., Ernst, J.B., Hong, Z., Feng, C., Leung, V.C.: Decentralized applications: The blockchain-empowered software system. IEEE Access 6, 53019-53033 (2018)
- [3]. Fabian, V., Vitalik, B.: EIP-20: ERC-20 token standard. Accessible: <https://eips.ethereum.org/EIPS/eip-20> (2015)
- [4]. Greifeld, K., & Hajric, V. (2021). Bitwise Debuts First DeFi Fund Amid Boom in Crypto Frontier. Bloomberg.Com. <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-02-17/bitwise-launches-first-defi-fund-amid-boom-in-crypto-frontier> Accessed 17 April 2021.
- [5]. Hughes, G., & Smith, S. (1991). Economic aspects of decentralized government: structure, functions and finance. Economic Policy, 6(13), 425-459. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1344632>
- [6]. Kharif, O. (2019). Bitcoin's Digital Gold Narrative Is Undercutting User Adoption. Bloomberg.Com. <https://news.bloomberglaw.com/banking-law/bitcoins-digital-gold-narrative-is-undercutting-user-adoption?context=article-related> Accessed 17 May 2021.
- [7]. Kharif, O. (2020). Crypto Exchanges Get Millions After Copy-Paste of a Rival's Code. Bloomberg. <https://www.bloombergquint.com/onweb/>



- come-to-jesussmoment-for-crypto-finance-apps-rocks-valuations Accessed 3 March 2021.
- [8]. Leising, M. (2021). NFTs Mushroom Into Billion-Dollar Market With Help From Alchemy. Bloomberg.Com. Accessed 12 April 2021.
- [9]. Mehboob, D. (2020). OECD report moves crypto-assets closer to a uniform tax framework. *International Tax Review*. <https://www.internationaltaxreview.com/article/b1nz9z1v5k4z89/oecd-report-moves-crypto-assets-closer-to-a-uniformtax-framework> . Accessed 10 May 2021.
- [10]. Morris, D. Z. (2020). Cryptocurrency, “decentralized finance,” and the sweet promise of 8% interest. Fortune.Com. <https://fortune.com/2020/02/19/crypto-currency-decentralized-finance-and-the-sweet-promise-of-8-interest/> Accessed 20 May 2021.
- [11]. Ryan, M. (2021). Blockchain and the Future of Auditing. *Pennsylvania CPA Journal*, 50–51.
- [12]. van der Merwe, A. (2021). A Taxonomy of Cryptocurrencies and Other Digital Assets. *Review of Business*, 41(1), 30–43