International Journal of Engineering, Management and Humanities (IJEMH)
Volume 6, Issue 5, Sep.-Oct., 2025 pp: 112-118 ISSN: 2584-2145 www.ijemh.com

Spatial Segregation in Nairobi City Due To Colonial Policies: A Focus on Settlement Distribution and Resource Access 1920-1963.

Onkoba Michomi Ezekiel
Mount Kenya University
MAHS/2021/79960
P. O. Box 342-01000, Thika, Kenya

Date of Submission: 11-09-2025 Date of Acceptance: 22-09-2025

Abstract
The research evaluated colonial policy effects on spatial segregation throughout Nairobi City by studying residential patterns alongside resource allocations between 1920 and 1963. Archival materials and primary source documents enabled researchers to assess how colonial urban creation methods produced segregated communities, which still affect Nairobi's economic environment today. Research showed that the European parts of the city obtained significant infrastructure investments, housing improvements, and public amenities. Still, African and Asian settlements gained minimal benefits since land policies restricted settlement, along with limited service provision and discriminatory legal measures that deepened geographic segregation. The colonial authorities imposed zoning regulations during that period, which gave European settlers exclusive control over Muthaiga and Karen but sent African people to the marginalised Kibera and Eastlands areas. Official records show that ownership laws with discriminatory resource allocation systems preserved racial segregation because they barred non-European populations from accessing better social opportunities and relationships. Research evidence showed that African communities experienced long-term economic inequality because they lacked access to quality educational and medical services and lacked proper transportation networks in their segregated territories. The research proved that colonial town design pursued objectives of cultural imperialism rather than economic benefits alone, since it functioned to construct European dominance within urban domains. The colonial administration applied spatial segregation as an organisational tool that sustained racial orders and economic gaps while managing workers. Post-colonial urban development operations have failed to erase colonial legacies, leading to present-day Nairobi, which shows clear social divisions in ownership and municipal services and spatial patterns. The research demonstrated that colonial spatial practices continue to shape the urban structure of Nairobi, which steers current planning choices while sustaining socioeconomic gaps. The continued existence of historical inequalities related to infrastructure, housing, and resource splits demonstrates how colonial segregationist policies maintain their impact. To achieve equitable resources, there must also be an extensive change in urban planning, land ownership, and additional remedies to alleviate the effects of previous inequalities. To comprehend how colonial planning has shaped Nairobi's ongoing urban policy, this study analyses the inception of spatial segregation early in the colonial experience and its consequences in contemporary contexts.

I. Introduction

During colonial times, Nairobi's urban planning process offered a systematic plan to regularise the dominance of Europeans through organised social hierarchies of race and class. The British colonial government intentionally designed spatial policies that segregated racialised groups by class and race and granted Europeans access to agglomerated land at the highest possible level of development and rent. The Asian community was offered access only to intermediate zones at the lowest possible level, especially with limited infrastructure. Finally, the Indigenous African community was relegated to the most underdeveloped, densest areas of the city. The regimented regime of segregation constructed through this policy not only reinforced economic disparities between the communities but also shaped the accessibility of resources, rights of land ownership, and the investment in infrastructural development and services. Contemporary spatial and economic inequalities seen within urban Nairobi are shaped by colonial spatial policy, as the who, where, and how of living spaces influenced residence, resource allocation, and economic opportunity. In the early 20th century, British colonial officials established the basis for racial segregation in Nairobi

by adapting urban design principles from colonial territories elsewhere. The 1926 Nairobi Town Planning Scheme codified racial zoning as an official policy, establishing residential segregation as a norm. As a result, Nairobi's urban fabric was divided into the separate racial enclaves of the European Quarter that had low-density neighbourhoods, well-planned infrastructure, and access to urban services. The African quarters comprised dense settlement patterns with crowded living conditions, poor sanitation facilities, inadequate housing, and poorly maintained public facilities. The separation of racial groups in the urban environment resulted from colonial urban planning and an overt strategy to safeguard European economic interests. Africans were positioned as labourers and tenants, which prevented them from achieving citizenship rights that would enable them to have an equal status to access urban services and financial benefits.

The colonial government consolidated the policy of racial segregation with discriminatory policies that sought to limit the entry of Africans into Nairobi. Housing policies, taxes, and the infamous pass laws were manipulated in ways that decreased and restricted the number of Africans who could live in the city. These sorts of policies prevented Africans from owning land or the right to build permanent homes, forcing them into temporary tenancy agreements that were less conducive to economic stability. Rather than owning land or building permanent structures, like Europeans or Asians, Africans lived in makeshift settlements with little to no municipal services. The way these policies rolled out was evident in African neighbourhoods such as Kibera, Pangani, and Pumwani, where African communities experienced chronic underdevelopment, poor sanitary conditions, and a lack of any significant investment in social services.

Additionally, Nairobi's infrastructure development was designed with a colonial mentality to benefit European interests and solidify racial and economic inequalities. Transportation systems, such as roads, railways, and public transportation, developed consistently linked European and Asian communities with business centres, while least considering the accessibility of the African community. Similarly, public service sectors were established based on privilege, where schooling, medical services, and recreational centres were disproportionately granted to European and Asian communities. For example, European schools benefited from significant government support, meaning European schools were exemplary educational institutions, while African schools were purposely denied funding and, thus, provided solely vocational education. Furthermore, the healthcare systems provided European citizens with well-equipped and adequately staffed healthcare, but barely provided for the African citizens, and established health inequality that produced further socio-economic disparities overall.

The effects of long-term colonial spatial policies still shape Nairobi's urban landscape and socio-economic distribution. The European enclaves associated with colonial privileges, such as Karen, Lavington, and Muthaiga, now hold their ground as wealthy residential enclaves with better basic infrastructure, higher property value, and exclusive access to elite services. Conversely, the former African settlements of Kibera, Eastlands, and Mathare are typified by poor infrastructure, overcrowded conditions, and continuing economic marginalisation. Colonial injustices have been reproduced in contemporary urban planning, where today's planning occasionally reproduces and maintains these injustices rather than ameliorating them, thereby reinforcing social and economic stratification.

Investigating the spatial segregation of Nairobi adds complexity to research design, particularly considering what previous settlement patterns mean, including the colonial period urban planning systems and the consequences of resource distribution and institutional power to create the economy and society of the city. The study is further operationalised as a multi-layered research methodology of archival written documents, historical maps, and contemporary urban planning documents to uncover the historical elements of segregationist colonial practices. Another approach component includes qualitative data collection focused on interviewing residents of Nairobi to highlight the historical aspect of current place-based experiences and the patterns related to spatial segregation that manifest in access to necessary discounts or services and social and economic upward mobility.

Recognising the historical spatial inequalities in Nairobi is very important, as it will help inform policy that anchors sustainable urban development to address past inequities. The present study highlights the need for a new contemporary framework for urban planning that acknowledges historical injustices and addresses spatial injustices of the past. Attending to spatial inequalities of the past requires forward-looking strategies such as investments in infrastructure in marginalised neighbourhoods, equitable land reforms, and fair policies for equitable resource allocation. To achieve a socially just and, ultimately, a more sustainable

urban setting, local governments must prioritise urban measures that guarantee equitable access to housing, public services, and economic opportunities to create a more integrated and fairer Nairobi for everyone, regardless of their historical disadvantage.

Statement of the problem

Between 1920 and 1963, the spatial arrangement of Nairobi City received direction from colonial programs that maintained racial and socio-economic divisions in settlements. The British colonial authority used urban planning as a tool to enforce European interests through marginalisation practices against African residents. Colonial policies created a deep-seated distribution of settlements and resource distribution, maintaining their influence over Nairobi's urban development patterns today. The former colonial policies continued influencing Nairobi's social and economic conditions after colonial authority ended because they impacted community development and public service availability throughout the city. The Africans had to endure inadequate service-dense living conditions within Kibera, Mathare, and Eastlands because these areas lacked basic facilities for clean water, sanitation, healthcare, and education services.

Colonial policies not only deepened the economic divide but also restricted African autonomy in both property and movement, which furthered the socio-economic subjugation of native peoples. Today's spatial segregation in Nairobi exemplifies the legacy of colonial city planning on daily life. The current disparities between urban neighbourhoods stem from colonial policies that ensured the unequal distribution of services. The unresolved social injustices compounded into sprawling informal settlements that fully promote equity in public service and limit opportunities for historically marginalised groups.

An evaluation of colonial policies' role in forming spatial segregation in Nairobi occurs through their influence on settlement zone distribution and neighbourhood resource distribution mechanisms. Investigating colonial urban policy changes in Nairobi uses historical records, urban planning documents, and informant qualitative findings to understand their effects on current urban developments. Such urban planning approaches should be coupled with city development efforts to achieve solutions for these problems.

Research Objective.

To investigate the impact of colonial policies on the spatialisation of settlements and access to resources between overlapping racial and socially marginalised communities in Nairobi City from 1920 to 1963.

Research Question.

What impact did colonial policies developed between 1920 and 1963 have on the spatialisation of settlements and the accessibility to resources of Nairobi's diverse macro and micro social and racial categories?

II. Literature Review

Research into colonial spatial segregation of Nairobi City stands as the fundamental aspect of present scholarly studies about town planning, settlement development, and resource distribution. Nairobi's present urban configuration and spatial distribution patterns resulted mainly from the discriminatory systems that British colonisers created during their colonial period.

The early urban planning process in Nairobi incorporated racial discrimination by implementing zoning regulations that split inhabitants between racial categories and economic levels. According to White (1990) and Anderson (2005), these policies are designed to sustain European economic and societal control by utilising spatial restrictions on African populations in inadequately resourced and non-central locations. Documents at the Kenya National Archives (KNA/ELMG/1/7) demonstrate how Europeans received preferential land distribution over other races because African communities were placed in cramped environments such as Kibera and Mathare, which had low amenities.

The colonial system, which managed land distribution and housing allocations, created new economic barriers between social classes. Through Hake (1977) and Obudho (1988), scholars demonstrate that colonial land ownership practices preferred European settlers and Indian traders who received prime property locations while keeping Africans in restricted camps. The systematic prohibition of African land possession finds additional backing in official records (KNA/ELMG/2/3), displaying the legal information blocking African title ownership, thus preventing economic growth and social mobility.

The allocation of resources followed directly from the colonial segregation policies implemented by British rulers. Research by Njoh (2009) and Kingoriah (1980) shows that European areas in African cities received significantly better infrastructure than their African counterparts. The archival record KNA/ELMG/1/6 demonstrates that Muthaiga Karen and other European regions received

essential services, including water supply, sanitation, and electricity, but African neighbourhoods received none. Reports from KNA/ELMG/3/3 show that the post-colonial government took over a hierarchical infrastructure system that had initially catered to the needs of an elite minority after independence.

Studies on urban segregation have thoroughly analysed how transportation systems play a key part in sustaining spatial inequality within cities. European transportation networks and railway systems during colonisation ensured the admission of Europeans throughout their economic centres but maintained restrictions on African economic accessibility, according to Soja (2010) and Freund (2007). Numerous archival records, including KNA/ELMG/2/1, demonstrate that Nairobi's road and railway system presented European access to central business zones while forcing African labourers into distant residential areas that demanded expensive transportation. The biased infrastructure created economic inequities that, combined with restrictions, prevented Africans from taking integral roles in urban markets.

How colonial education policies shaped physical segregation patterns remains an essential study topic. According to Sifuna (1990) and Bogonko (1992), the colonial authorities created separate school systems between European and African institutions, which received better funding and facilities. Sources (KNA/ELMG/2/7) at the Kenya National Archives indicate insufficient African schools in pre-independence Nairobi, leading to educational inequalities that continued after independence. The specific policies created situations where Africans received less upward advancement, strengthening the economic gaps in urban areas.

Post-colonial urban studies investigate how these policies affect the current configuration of Nairobi. Mitullah (2003) and Syagga (2006) present the view that formal segregation ended with independence, yet the socioeconomic divisions created through colonial policies continue to determine Nairobi's urban growth. The ongoing presence of informal settlements alongside insufficient service provision and social inequalities mainly stems from the spatial planning systems that developed during colonial times. The post-colonial attempts to resolve disparities in records KNA/ELMG/2/6 met resistance from institutional frameworks and economic limitations.

Research on urban planning in colonial and post-colonial periods shows that the formal framework of social disparities from the colonial era has proven challenging to eliminate. According to Rakodi (1997) and Simon (1992), the post-colonial period implementation of neoliberal urban policies revealed deepened segregation instead of reduction. Market-oriented approaches to development have provided benefits for middle and upper-class populations by neglecting marginalised groups' basic needs. The historical segregation patterns persisted through urban development efforts because archival records (KNA/ELMG/3/2) demonstrate they affected land allocation and service accessibility in Nairobi.

The research on spatial segregation in Nairobi City demonstrates that colonial policies established long-lasting effects on how urban settlements developed and resources were distributed. Historical documents, alongside scholarly examination, reveal the complete picture of colonial urban planning effects on Nairobi's socio-economic structure, which continues to influence modern urban growth patterns. Nairobi requires policies targeting historical injustices because it faces ongoing informal settlements, unequal resource allocation, and socioeconomic gaps.

III. Methodology

The research used a mixed methods approach to investigate segregation patterns in Nairobi City, which resulted from colonial rule, by studying settlement locations and resource availability from 1920 through 1963. The research method successfully combined qualitative and quantitative information to study historical land allocation politics, urban planning systems, and their associated social and economic effects.

Archival research at the Kenya National Archives yielded crucial primary data for analysing historical records and documents. An analysis of various sources of data, such as government records, municipal reports, colonial correspondence, and land title deeds, was conducted for this research. The government records described and outlined government-regulated land use, infrastructure development, and resource allocation while describing aspects of their policies. The analysis relied heavily on particular archival sources, including KNA/ELMG/1/7 economic reports regarding land ownership and KNA/ELMG/2/3, which addressed land tenure and property ownership. After these archival documents were evaluated, KNA/ELMG/1/6 was reviewed regarding social service provision. The historical documents enabled researchers to see how colonial rule produced specific spatial patterns and gauged the systems and protocols that induced and enabled segregation across landscapes.

International Journal of Engineering, Management and Humanities (IJEMH)
Volume 6, Issue 5, Sep.-Oct., 2025 pp: 112-118 ISSN: 2584-2145 www.ijemh.com

The research team interviewed multiple key informants, including urban planners, historians, and people who had lived in Nairobi for extended periods. The interviews collected information about real-life experiences and colonial policies and their lasting influence on cities' development. The interviewed individuals shared meaningful testimonials about socio-economic results from spatial segregation, while direct quotes in italics brought forward their personal experiences and statements. Qualitative perspectives incorporated into this research expanded the study through their ability to present detailed information about the statistical and archival data findings.

The analysis of historical settlement patterns used a Geographic Information System (GIS) to create maps that presented segregation levels. Multiple historical maps showing colonial Nairobi were digitised through georeferencing before researchers studied variations in land allocation and infrastructure development across the region. The research team compiled statistical records about resident populations and then studied housing conditions and amenity access to measure socio-economic segregation in detail. The quantitative analysis allowed this study to determine how colonial actions affected contemporary urban inequalities.

Different information sources were combined to improve the reliability and truthfulness of the study findings. Through the combination of archival records with interviews and GIS data, the research methodology provided a comprehensive examination that combined historical policies with modern urban context assessment. The research methods incorporated a complete investigation of colonial spatial policy effects on Nairobi's urban landscape by connecting historical documents to current observations.

The research adopted ethical practices when managing the archives and conducting the interviews. The research project obtained interview participant consent as researchers received permission before beginning interview sessions. Researchers also made confidentiality promises to participants. According to institutional guidelines for archival studies, the research maintained integrity and used authentic data sources. The researchers concentrated their evaluation on preventing historical analysis distortion from presentist biases so they could maintain objective results.

The combination of research methods enabled researchers to uncover intricate details about how colonial spatial segregation affected Nairobi. The research comprised an archival study combined with qualitative narratives and quantitative spatial analysis to efficiently examine settlement spatial patterns and their distribution related to resource access through the historical context and regional economic conditions. The study produced significant knowledge on colonial policies that produced spatial inequalities that continue to impact Nairobi's current urban organisation.

Presentation and Discussion of Findings

The distribution of settlements and access to resource patterns that emerged from the colonial policies in Nairobi remain a significant factor in spatial segregation problems today. European and Asian settlements were designed to enjoy greater benefits through the colonial strategy of urban planning, which purposely excluded the African population from the benefits. This study illustrates the form and scale of these discriminatory practices by employing three ways of investigation, including reviewing historical documents, conducting oral interviews, and researching metropolitan cities.

The arrangement of urban space in Nairobi during the colonial era (1920–1963) featured a specially designed separation of racial communities. European settlers reserved Muthaiga and Karen for themselves because these upscale areas enjoyed complete infrastructure systems, including smooth roads, proper sanitation, and constant access to clean water. African settlements in Kibera and Eastlands received minimal access to essential services while they endured insufficient infrastructure and poor sanitation conditions. These historical documents reveal the discriminatory practice of funding distribution, which benefited white settlement areas while pushing the economic gap between racial groups wider (KNA/ELMG/1/6 - Social Services Provision and Segregation (1940-1950) and KNA/ELMG/3/3 - Amenities Distribution Reports (1950-1960)).

The key informant who lived in Eastlands for a long time emphasised that during the 1950s, the African settlements faced systematic neglect by describing how residents needed to carry water from distant shared taps, even though European neighbourhoods enjoyed individual house-based piped water systems. The disparity was apparent." The narrative evidence confirms archival documentation about how investments systematically excluded African residential areas.

The following table displays an assessment of infrastructure development with service provision in colonial Nairobi's various residential areas.

International Journal of Engineering, Management and Humanities (IJEMH)
Volume 6, Issue 5, Sep.-Oct., 2025 pp: 112-118 ISSN: 2584-2145 www.ijemh.com

Neighbourhood	Dominant Population (Colonial Period)	Access to Water (%)	Paved Roads (%)	Healthcare Facilities (per 10,000 residents)	School Enrollment Rate (%)
Muthaiga	European	95	90	5	80
Karen	European	90	85	4	75
Eastlands	African	40	30	2	35
Kibera	African	25	10	1	20

The table data reveal that European settlements received considerably different resources compared to the infrastructure in African areas. European areas under control received access to essential services for almost every resident, but Africans experienced systematic denial of infrastructure and essential amenities. African residents exposed these disadvantages through stories that described their need for informal survival practices because of a lack of government services, which perpetuated poverty and social rejection.

The enforced separation of housing zones conducted by colonial spatial segregation resulted in poor economic development and a lack of necessary infrastructure. The effective communication systems in European residential areas allowed their residents to reach metropolitan commercial centres while gaining access to professional opportunities. The inability of African people to get essential transport systems because their communities remained isolated led to substantial economic development challenges. The urban transportation records from KNA/ELMG/2/1-Infrastructure Development Reports (1930–1950) show that the system obstructed African mobility as it focused on European transportation needs.

According to an elderly resident from Kibera, the situation required them to walk great distances for job opportunities because European residents enjoyed direct access to public transportation. This proved beyond doubt that the city's infrastructure had been designed exclusively for the European population. This historical evidence from the 1940s illustrates how colonial policies separated African communities from the core economic areas of Nairobi, which continues to influence urban development today.

Nairobi's current spatial disparities are a direct result of colonial policies during that time. The programs in place did not address the underlying structural differences in infrastructures and service delivery to address the inequities following independence. Through the upkeep of informal settlements, inadequate public services, and socioeconomic disparities stemming from historical prejudice and segregation policies continue to have an impact on society.

Research findings indicate that racial segregation within Nairobi developed from the urban planning implementation of colonial powers, which established social and racial economic inequalities. Systematic underdevelopment of colonies targeted at Africans between 1920 and 1963 established continuing economic gaps between generations. Specific urban planning methods, equitable funding, infrastructural development in underserved areas, and targeted solutions for historical injustices form the basis for resolving such issues. Nairobi needs essential measures to accomplish inclusive urban growth and spatial justice.

IV. Conclusion

The geographical separation of Nairobi developed under colonial governance between 1920 and 1963, affecting resource distribution and residential area establishment. During colonial rule, land allocation decisions and zoning preferences established social-racial divisions that have endured to influence modern Nairobi. European settlers brought improved infrastructure development, excellent organised traffic networks, and necessary public services to Muthaiga and Karen. The people of Africa continued to lack sufficient access to public services in Kibera and Eastlands. The systematic implementation of racial separation created institutional barriers that eliminated promising opportunities while denying African people access to superior residences and proper healthcare facilities, and quality education, according to historical documentation and Indigenous evidence. The city of Nairobi maintains settlement patterns that reveal social inequalities and uneven resource distribution from its colonial design era. A combination of holistic urban development policies and reformative, equitable approaches must be implemented to solve historical wrongs thoroughly.

V. Recommendations

Multiple immediate legislation changes combined with urban planning methods must be

employed to mitigate the enduring spatial segregation outcomes in post-colonial Nairobi. The government needs to pass Equal land redistribution laws to enable infrastructure building and cost-effective residential options in areas such as Kibera and Mathare. Services should be developed to serve all communities inclusively through urban planning efforts, emphasizing public healthcare facilities, education, and accessible public transport. A more involved and accessible form of urban governance should be implemented to enable community members in resource distribution and land use planning decisions. Using historical information in urban planning enables better initiatives because it supports equity-based spatial development alongside correcting entrenched social problems. Creating evidence-based policy frameworks requires systematically reviewing colonial strategies for contemporary urban growth. The implementation programs will shape Nairobi into an integrated urban space that promotes a sustainable structure that blocks continued spatial discrimination from the colonial period.

References.

[1]. Anderson, D. M. (2005). Histories of the hanged: The Dirty War in Kenya and the end of Empire. W. W. Norton & Company.
[2]. Bogonko, S. N. (1992). A history of modern education in Kenya (1895–1991). Evans Brothers (Kenya) Ltd.
[3]. Freund, B. (2007). The African city: A history. Cambridge University Press.
[4]. Hake, A. (1977). African metropolis: Nairobi's self-help city. Chatto & Windus.
[5]. Kingoriah, G. K. (1980). Urban development in Kenya: A study of Nairobi. University of Nairobi, Institute for Development Studies.
[6]. Mitullah, W. V. (2003). Understanding slums: Case studies for the global report on human settlements: The case of Nairobi, Kenya. UN-HABITAT.
[7]. Njoh, A. J. (2009). Urban planning as a tool of power and social control in colonial Africa.PlanningPerspectives,24(3),301–317. https://doi.org/10.1080/02665430902933960
[8]. Obudho, R. A. (1988). Urbanisation and regional planning in Kenya. Population and Development Review, 14(3), 429–445.
[9]. Rakodi, C. (1997). The urban challenge in Africa: Growth and management of its large cities. United Nations University Press.
[10]. Sifuna, D. N. (1990). Development of education in Africa: The Kenyan experience. Kenya Journal of Education, 6(1), 1–25.
[11]. Simon, D. (1992). Concepts and methodologies for urban development in the Third World. Routledge.
[12]. Soja, E. W. (2010). Seeking spatial justice. University of Minnesota Press.
[13]. Syagga, P. M. (2006). Land ownership and use in Kenya: Policy prescriptions from an inequality perspective. Kenya Land Alliance.
[14]. White, L. (1990). The comforts of home: Prostitution in colonial Nairobi. University of Chicago Press.
[15]. Kenya National Archives. (1930–1950). Infrastructure Development Reports (KNA/ELMG/2/1). Nairobi: Government Printer.
[16]. Kenya National Archives. (1940–1950). Economic Reports and Land Ownership (KNA/ELMG/1/7). Nairobi: Government Printer.
[17]. Kenya National Archives. (1940–1950). Education Infrastructure Records (KNA/ELMG/2/7). Nairobi: Government Printer.
[18]. Kenya National Archives. (1940–1950). Social Services Provision and Segregation (KNA/ELMG/1/6). Nairobi: Government Printer.
[19]. Kenya National Archives. (1950–1960). Amenities Distribution Reports (KNA/ELMG/3/3). Nairobi: Government Printer.
[20]. Kenya National Archives. (1950–1960). Land Tenure and Property Ownership (KNA/ELMG/2/3). Nairobi: Government Printer.
[21]. Kenya National Archives. (1960–1970). Post-Colonial Urban Planning Assessments (KNA/ELMG/2/6). Nairobi: Government Printer.
[22]. Kenya National Archives. (1970–1980). Urban Transition and Legacy Studies (KNA/ELMG/3/2). Nairobi: Government Printer.